The characteristic of follower’s personal mastery: A case in Indonesian construction industry
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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to understand the characteristics of Follower's Personal Mastery in the construction industry where followers are the givers of ideas and knowledge. We recognize the construction industry as one of the project-based organizations, has stiff competition criteria and the need for innovation in a short time span, so this research is very important because innovation results from the exchange of ideas and knowledge between leaders and followers (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998) through the Leader-member Exchange (LMX) mechanism (Dewanto, 2013). Leaders are known to have comprehensive general knowledge, while followers are known to have deep specialized knowledge (Hobday, 2000; Dewanto, 2013). The higher the Follower's Personal Mastery level, the higher the quality of the exchange of ideas and knowledge that is exchanged between followers and leaders. We chose research conducted in a construction State-Owned Enterprise (BUMN) in Indonesia which has many branches throughout the region, so that it is able to represent the construction industry. This study involved all 121 projects spread across Indonesia that existed at the time of data collection. The analytical method used in this research is descriptive statistical analysis, and the participation rate is 97.52 percent. The findings show that Follower’s Personal Mastery Variables has a high level with a mean of 4.56, especially In-Process Behavior 4.77 and Output Behavior 4.73.

© 2022 by the authors. Licensee SSBFNET, Istanbul, Turkey. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Research on the characteristics of Follower's Personal Mastery is important because innovation is the result of the exchange of ideas and knowledge between leaders and followers. If followers have high quality ideas and knowledge, it is expected that the innovation generated in exchange with the leader will be of high quality. Meanwhile, successful performance will be achieved by high-quality innovation. Furthermore, research on the characteristics of Follower's Personal Mastery in the construction industry has never been carried out, therefore this research will enrich it by taking samples of well-known SOEs in Indonesia. It is important to understand the characteristics of Follower's Personal Mastery in the construction industry, because this industry plays a large role in obtaining a country’s GDP.

This study uses a work unit, namely a project, where followers are people who act as project managers, while leaders are general managers who supervise several project managers for the projects they are working on. So the idea of knowledge originating from the project, which is then exchanged by the Project Manager (followers) with the General Manager (leader) results in innovation at the general manager level and then the results are applied back to the project. Innovations in construction projects in Indonesia are mostly at overcoming the geographical challenges that occur at the project site. Each construction project has a unique phenomenon, including: different geographical situations and conditions; Innovation is realized at the time of project preparation or in the project period, because the project duration is predetermined; so that each project will have a different innovation result.
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This research will be conducted in a State-Owned Enterprise (BUMN) which is a leader in the Indonesian construction industry. In addition to being a market leader, this BUMN is famous for its innovation initiatives by winning various innovation competitions. Having projects spread throughout the country, so that they can represent a typical construction company in Indonesia. This study involved a total of 121 projects during data collection, and used a unit of analysis of the dyadic relationship between general managers (as leaders) and project managers (as followers). The participation rate is 97.52 percent or 118 projects, while the analytical method uses descriptive statistical analysis.

From previous research, new knowledge enables companies to innovate and outperform their competitors in a dynamic environment (Grant, 1996; Kogut & Zander, 1992). Furthermore, research proves that innovation is an antecedent of performance (Bierly & Cakrabarti, 1996; Brown & Eisenhard, 1995). So in today's fierce competition, innovation advantage is very important in the market. Meanwhile, in the construction industry, innovation is important to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of work methods/processes, as a means of competition, a means of growth, and a tool to shorten the project cycle (Ribeiro, 2008). In addition, the role of the construction industry in achieving Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is very important in various countries including Indonesia, with a minimum contribution of 10% of GDP (Morales, Llorens-Montes, & Jover, 2007; BPS, 2013). Its contribution role has increased through various physical infrastructure developments, such as buildings, bridges, irrigation, roads, ports, airports and so on. Therefore, Porter (1990) and Thesmar and Thoenig (2000) state that companies in the construction industry must continue to innovate to win the market, and for that, companies must survive in a dynamic mode of capability development (Teese, Pisano & Shuen, 1997), namely the organization's latent ability to continually update, expand, and adapt its core competencies.

Xu, Chen, Xie, Liu, Zheng and Wang (2007) in Total Innovation Management state that leaders cannot create innovations on their own, they need support from followers as subordinates. According to Diensch and Liden (1986) and Liden, Sparrowe and Wayne (1997), leaders and followers engage in interactions by exchanging tangible and intangible resources. Furthermore, in the context of project-based organizations, leaders (general managers) have high status and comprehensive abilities, whereas followers (project managers) have ideas and operational knowledge as intangible resources (Hobday, 2000). Therefore, high Follower’s Personal Mastery will produce quality innovation as a result of the exchange of ideas and knowledge between leaders and followers, where followers have a strategic role as knowledge providers. Thus, this study aims to examine the characteristics of Follower's Personal Mastery in the construction industry. This is important because if the Follower's Personal Mastery has a high value or quality, then it can be expected that a high quality LMX exchange occurs between the leader and followers, resulting in quality innovation to achieve successful performance.

From previous research, followers who have Personal Mastery are people who demonstrate a commitment to personal and professional development - that is, people who constantly improve their capacities, skills, abilities, knowledge and expertise and strive for continuous improvement - which contribute the company, directly or indirectly (through organizational learning and innovation), will obtain more favorable results (Llorens-Montes et al., 2005; Senge, 1990; Senge et al., 1994). These people pursue improvement on their own initiative, not because they are forced to do so by the company. This skill is needed in the knowledge sharing process as part of the organizational learning process which consists of three processes, namely knowledge acquisition, knowledge sharing, and knowledge use (Dibella et al., 1996). So people who have personal mastery will contribute to knowledge acquisition and sharing knowledge. Innovation requires that individuals acquire current knowledge and that they share that knowledge within the organization (Cohen & Levinthal, 1990; Hage, 1999; Kogut & Zander, 1992; Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995; Sta, 1989). So this study not only examines the existence Personal Mastery possessed by followers, but also the quality or level. It will be proven that Follower's Personal Mastery has high value or quality for the need for innovation creation in projects that must be fast, effective and efficient.

**Literature Review**

**Empirical Review and Hypothesis Development**

Most previous studies show a positive relationship between innovation and performance (Bierly & Cakrabarti, 1996; Brown & Eisenhard, 1995; Caves & Ghemawat, 1992; Damanpour, 1991). Innovation requires that individuals acquire current knowledge and that they share that knowledge within the organization (Cohen & Levinthal, 1990; Hage, 1999; Kogut & Zander, 1992; Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995; Sta, 1989). Nonaka (1994) says innovation will occur when employees share their knowledge within the organization and when shared knowledge creates new and shared insights. The ability to create new knowledge (knowledge creation) enables companies to innovate and beat competitors in a dynamic environment (Grant, 1996; Kogut & Zander, 1992). The ability to create knowledge is a company-specific resource that can generate revenue from the creation of new opportunities, and respond effectively to a rapidly changing environment (DeCarolis & Deeds, 1999; Grant, 1996). Knowledge creation is defined as a direct product of the knowledge creation process, especially the development of new ideas that reflect significant elaboration or enrichment of current knowledge (Johnson, 2002; Tse & Mitchell, 2010). Nahapiet and Ghoshal (1998) say that new knowledge (knowledge creation) is created in organizations through a process of exchange and combination among employees. Implicit in this argument is the note that exchange and combination create new knowledge by linking previously unconnected ideas and knowledge or recombining previously connected ideas and knowledge in new ways (Kogut & Zander, 1992; Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998).
Previous empirical studies have shown that innovation is an antecedent of performance (Bierly & Cakraborti, 1996; Brown & Eisenhardt, 1995). This is because of the role of innovation in dealing with a dynamic external environment and for long-term success (Baker & Sinkula, 2002; Utterback, 1994; Wolfe, 1994). Furthermore, innovation in the construction industry is important to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of work methods/processes, as a means of competition, a means of growth, and a tool to shorten the project cycle (Ribeiro, 2008). In addition, the role of the construction industry in achieving Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is very important in various countries including Indonesia, with a minimum contribution of 10% of GDP (Morales, Llorens-Montes, & Jover, 2007; BPS, 2013). Its contribution role has increased through various physical infrastructure developments, such as buildings, bridges, irrigation, roads, ports, airports and so on. It can be concluded based on previous research that innovation in the construction industry results in the achievement of performance targets.

According to Senge (1990), the definition of **Personal Mastery** is the capability to grow and learn at the individual level. Senge also said that personal mastery is a discipline of personal growth and learning. Personal Mastery concentrates on learning aspects in individual learning organizations. Meanwhile Sayers (1996) says that personal mastery is: a personal journey to make continuous improvement of individuals; characterized by an individual character who is always looking for ways to grow, looking for new things to learn, eager to meet interesting people to gain knowledge; a way of life that emphasizes growth and satisfaction in personal and professional life. Another researcher Secretan (1997) states that mastery is the execution of any task by a person in his work, using the highest standards that the person is capable of doing. Flood (1999) says Personal mastery which means developing one's skills is like a journey without an end. In other words, it is a lifelong discipline. So that people who have personal mastery will contribute to knowledge acquisition and knowledge sharing. So, People who have **Personal Mastery** are people who demonstrate a commitment to personal and professional development - i.e. people who constantly improve their capacities, skills, abilities, knowledge and expertise and strive for continuous improvement - which contribute to the company, directly or indirectly (through organizational learning and innovation), will obtain more favorable results (Llorens-Montes et al., 2005; Senge, 1990; Senge et al., 1994). These people pursue improvement on their own initiative, not because they are forced to do so by the company. This skill is needed in the knowledge sharing process as part of the organizational learning process which consists of three processes, namely knowledge acquisition, knowledge sharing, and knowledge use (Dibella et al., 1996). Innovation requires that individuals acquire current knowledge and that they share that knowledge within the organization (Cohen & Levinthal, 1990; Hage, 1999; Kogut & Zander, 1992; Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995; Staas, 1989). In accordance with Tannebaum (1998) to conduct knowledge acquisition requires competent employees, where employees who have personal mastery (Senge, 1990) can do it. Furthermore, employees who have personal mastery will be actively involved in the knowledge sharing process as knowledge givers.

Various studies have demonstrated the relationship between personal mastery and organizational learning from different perspectives. Morales (2004) analyzed the characteristics of people who have a high level of personal mastery. Flood (1998) investigated how systemic thinking by viewing the organization as a unified whole affects personal mastery, which in turn fosters motivation for continuous learning. Thomas (1994) uses a planning lens to emphasize how personal mastery focuses on the learning elements of individual-owned companies. Finally, Leonard-Barton (1992) points out the importance of personal growth in the learning laboratory. Personal mastery relationship with employee performance. (Kouzes & Posner, 2002; Goleman, 2002) suggest that employees are more loyal when they believe that their values and those of the organization are aligned. Senge (1990) said that people with a high level of personal mastery will have more commitment, initiative, and high responsibility in their work. These people have great aspirations for their families, companies, industry, and society. The individual journey to achieve personal mastery opens up opportunities to become a complete and happy human being, personally and professionally. Senge (1990) believes that nothing is more important for someone who has a personal commitment to self-development, than a supportive environment. Personal mastery relationship with work and lifestyle balance. People who go on a journey of personal mastery desire success. A strong desire and need for success. A belief that continuous improvement whether in business life or personal life will lead to improvement and results. The need for success is linked to the need for a balance between work and lifestyle. Senge (1990) says getting a balance between work and family is the top priority for employees over other things. From the description above, in the context of personal mastery for the manager level, based on Johnson's (2006) research, it is suspected that the importance of a balance between work and home life, continuous learning and an organizational culture that supports work and lifestyle. So that employee commitment will increase which in turn will improve organizational performance and success. Personal mastery fosters increased performance within the company. Studies of the relationship between personal mastery and performance show a positive relationship either directly or indirectly through organizational learning and innovation (Morales, Montes & Jover, 2007). Furthermore, according to Senge (1990) there are five principles that underlie the development of personal mastery, namely: personal vision, creative tension, structural conflict, commitment to the truth, and the use of the subconscious.

**Hypothesis Development**

In this study on construction industry, we estimate followers to have personal mastery and in high value or quality. This is due to the demands of work where innovations must be created in accordance with the unique situation and geographical conditions of each project, and must be created in a short time during the project period. Furthermore, followers have quality intangible resources to trade with their leader. Followers need exchange to expose themselves to personal goals such as career advancement, opportunities for training and higher salaries (e.g., Graen & Cashman, 1975; Graen & Scandura, 1987; Graen & Uhl-Bien, 1995; Huang, Wright, Chiu & Wang, 2008). Leaders, on the other hand, are interested in exchanging ideas and knowledge with followers through LMX,
because followers have the resources that leaders need to carry out their work (e.g. Dockery & Steiner, 1990; Huang, Wright, Chiu & Wang, 2008). Therefore, both leaders and followers are interested in engaging in high-quality exchange of ideas and knowledge. Furthermore, the knowledge gained from followers is combined with the leader’s own knowledge to produce leader’s innovation. These innovations are then passed on by the leader back to the followers to be implemented in follower-managed projects to improve project performance. Thus, we can hypothesize that:

**H1. Follower’s Personal Mastery is existed in high value or in high quality**

**Research and Methodology**

**Methods of Data Collection**

The research study uses one of the State-Owned Enterprises (BUMN) of Indonesian construction. A construction company is a typical project-based organization, where the flow of ideas and knowledge follows the upper middle: from followers to leaders and back to followers for implementation. This state-owned company was chosen because it is leading in the market and has projects spread throughout the region, so it is expected to represent the construction industry in Indonesia. The company is known for creating superior innovations that are implemented in various construction projects, especially toll roads, ring roads, and flyovers. This company is known for producing superior innovations that have an impact on completing work faster than the target. At the time of the study, the company had 849 employees, consisting of 584 technical employees and 265 non-technical employees. There are 138 employees at project manager level, 28 employees at general manager level, and 5 directors.

As previously mentioned, this study uses work units on projects that are at the core of the construction business, where ideas and knowledge emerge at the operational level in response to geographic challenges. General managers are attracted to such ideas and knowledge because they can help improve project performance, which they supervise. This is the main reason that encourages the exchange of ideas and knowledge between project managers and general managers. After receiving a supply of ideas and knowledge from the project manager, the general manager refines them to create innovation. Next, key innovations are fed back to the project led by the project manager for implementation.

In this study all 121 projects that existed at the time of data collection were included, using the unit of analysis of the dyadic relationship between general managers (as leaders) and project managers (as followers). The sample consists of 121 dyads in which each general manager relates to 10-15 project managers. From the collection results, only 118 projects could be analyzed (participation rate 97.52 percent). This figure is well above the 20-25 percent average for management research involving senior executives of a company (Morgan and Strong, 2003; O'Regan and Ghobadian, 2004).

Measurement for variable *Follower’s Personal Mastery* can be seen in Table 1, while data collection scheme can be seen in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follower’s Personal Mastery</td>
<td>Soft Skill; Hard Skill; In process Behaviour; Output Behaviour; Risk and QCD (Quality, Cost &amp; Delivery) Consideration; Health, Safety, and Environmental Security (HSE)</td>
<td>Follower’s Personal Mastery questionnaires, consist of 21 items using six-Likert scale</td>
<td>Morales et al (2007); Senge (1990); Kouzes &amp; Posner (2002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Asked about</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Follower’s Personal Mastery</td>
<td>General Managers (Leaders)</td>
<td>Project Managers (Followers)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analysis and Findings**

To perform the analysis, we use *Descriptive Statistics Analysis*

**Respondent Profile and Descriptive Statistics Analysis**

Of the total respondents, there are 9 general managers and 121 project managers. Among the general managers, all are males. Among project managers, 119 are males (98.35 percent), and 2 are females (1.65 percent). Other information which can be summarized from research, are mean and deviation standard. *Descriptive Statistics Analysis*, can be seen in Table 4 below, the variable measurement using Likert scale 1 to 6.
According to descriptive statistics table, it can be seen that for latent variable Follower’s Personal Mastery, the highest dimension is In process behaviour with mean score 4.77, which means leaders assess followers as having high commitment and responsibility at work as a behavioral characteristic of people who have personal mastery. Dimension Output Behaviour also has high score 4.73, which means Leaders assess followers as having a high level of satisfaction with their own work as a characteristic of the behavior of people who have personal mastery. But for other dimensions i.e. Soft Skill, Hard Skill, Risk and QCD Consideration, and Health, Safety and Environmental (HSE), also has high mean score, with mean average of Follower’s Personal Mastery score at 4.6. This means quality of Follower’s Personal Mastery is high, and has uniform dimensional characteristics.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Deviation Standard</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follower’s Personal Mastery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Measured by Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Skill</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard Skill</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Process Behaviour</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Behaviour</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk and QCD Consideration</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health, Safety and Environmental Security</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HSE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, based on mean of questionairre’s response, it can be concluded that Leader perceive Follower’s Personal Mastery has high value or quality for all dimension comprises: Soft Skill, Hard Skill, In Process Behaviour, Output Behaviour, Risk and QCD Consideration, Health, Safety, and Environmental Security (HSE). Although deviation standard inserted in analysis, the score of latent variables still high above average.

Discussion

This study aims to examine the existence and quality of Follower's Personal Mastery in the construction industry. The results show that Follower's Personal Mastery exists and has high quality or value. This shows that the Project Manager as the follower is ready to provide high quality ideas and knowledge to be exchanged with the General Manager as the leader. Of course, in this case the General Manager and Project Manager try to exchange ideas and knowledge through LMX with high quality for the benefit of both parties. The General Manager is concerned with achieving the performance of the projects he supervises, while the Project Manager is concerned with achieving the performance of his projects, and can pursue his own personal goals such as career advancement, opportunities for training and higher salaries. We note that the variable Follower's Personal Mastery has a high score with an average of 4.56 using a Likert scale of 1 to 6. While the highest dimension score is In Process Behavior with a score of 4.77.

Furthermore, every project in the construction industry requires innovation to face the challenges of different geographical situations and conditions at each location. Meanwhile, the implementation period of the project work has been determined at the beginning. Therefore the project demands that innovation be carried out quickly, ie before the project starts or within the project period. So based on research findings where Follower’s Personal Mastery has a high average score of 4.56, it can be said that the project manager and his workers are ready to face the challenges of completing a project.

Implication

The research implication is that in the construction industry Follower's Personal Mastery is an important issue. Because projects in the construction industry face different challenges and geographical conditions, the Project Manager must have a high Follower's Personal Mastery. This is necessary so that innovation is created quickly in order to complete the project, which must be done before the project starts or during the project period. This finding enriches the theory of Personal Mastery with the existence of Follower's Personal Mastery in projects in the construction industry. Furthermore, Follower's Personal Mastery in the construction industry has a high quality or value to be able to face the challenges of completing project tasks.

Conclusions

The findings above have provided a conclusion to the main research question, namely the existence of high value or high quality Follower Personal Mastery in the construction industry. Based on the descriptive statistical analysis table, it can be seen that for the Follower's Personal Mastery variable, the highest dimension is In Process Behavior with a score of 4.77, which means that the leader considers followers to have high commitment and responsibility at work. Furthermore, the Output Behavior dimension also has a high score of 4.73, which means that the leader considers followers satisfied with their work, this is a characteristic of people who have personal mastery. Meanwhile, other dimensions, namely Soft Skills, Hard Skills, Risk and QCD Considerations and HSE also have a high mean value, with an average Follower's Personal Mastery of 4.56. This means that Followers Personal Mastery have high quality or value, and have uniform dimensional characteristics. So it can be concluded based on the average answers to the
questionnaire, that the Leader considers followers to have high Personal Mastery for all dimensions, namely: Soft Skills, Hard Skills, In Process Behavior, Output Behavior, Risk and QCD Considerations, and HSE Considerations. Even though the standard deviation was included in the analysis, the score of the Follower Personal Mastery variable was still high above average.

Furthermore, the personal follower's mastery of projects in the construction industry must have high quality or value. This is because each project faces different geographical situations and conditions, so that project completion innovations must be carried out quickly, effectively and efficiently, ie before the project starts or during the project period. In addition, this research also contributes to the theory of Personal Mastery, namely the existence of Follower's Personal Mastery on projects in the construction industry and has a high value for facing the challenge of completing projects on time.

This study has limitations, despite its findings and contributions to the management literature. First limitations, the use of perception measures to collect data, where all data is collected based on respondents' perceptions. The use of this measure is subject to the consistency motive (Podsakoff & Organ, 1986), here the respondent will try to maintain a consistent perception for all logically related items across the questionnaire. Furthermore, using dyadic data, in which each general manager fills out a questionnaire for their 10 followers, they can try to maintain consistency across followers. Another consistency bias is the slack effect in which followers consistently respond favorably to their leader for fear of underestimating them. To minimize bias, we designed a careful questionnaire design (Huber & Power, 1985) in which items and related sections are logically separated, so that respondents do not easily maintain consistency, and each response is treated confidentially.

The second limitation relates to questions related to the application of the findings to industries that have different characteristics from the construction industry which is the context of this research. For future research it can be done, for example in the service industry with rapid technological developments, where continuous innovation is very important and to deal with companies with short-term versus long-term dilemmas.

The third limitation is related to Follower’s Personal Mastery which is the research variable in this study. We measure the Follower’s Personal Mastery variable using dimensions appropriate to the construction industry through validity and reliability tests. Therefore, further research can be directed to examine the validity and reliability of these dimensions on the Follower’s Personal Mastery variable in other industries that have different characteristics.
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